



**Public Polling Methodology Statement: Religious Discrimination**

**Publication date:** 3rd February 2022

<b>Short Methodology Statement</b>	
<b>Research company</b>	YouGov Galaxy Pty Ltd
<b>Client commissioning the research</b>	Just Equal
<b>End client</b>	Just Equal
<b>Fieldwork dates</b>	20 to 25 January 2022
<b>Mode of data collection</b>	Online recruited from research panel (100%)
<b>Target population</b>	Australians who are eligible to vote
<b>Sample size</b>	1026
<b>Australian Polling Council compliant</b>	Yes
<b>URL of Long Methodology statement</b>	<a href="https://au.yougov.com/results/apc">https://au.yougov.com/results/apc</a>
<b>Is voting intention published?</b>	No

<b>Long Methodology Statement</b>	
<b>Effective sample size after weighting applied</b>	718
<b>Margin of error associated with effective sample size</b>	±3.7
<b>Variables used in weighting</b>	Age x gender x location, Age x education, Religion
<b>Weighting method used</b>	Rim weighting
<b>Full question text, responses categories and randomisation</b>	See below
<b>Proportion of landline/mobile completed interviews</b>	N/A
<b>Source of online sample</b>	Selected from previously recruited online panels of research participants to fill quotas loosely based on weighting frame and past vote
<b>Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire?</b>	N/A
<b>How were undecided voters handled?</b>	N/A
<b>2PP calculation method</b>	N/A



## Full Question Text of Published Questions

Q1. Currently, public speech in the workplace or in the community which humiliates, intimidates, insults or ridicules other people is illegal under some Australian anti-discrimination laws. Which is closer to your own view? [ROTATE 1-2]

1. Such speech should be exempt from these laws if it's based on the speaker's religious beliefs
2. These laws should apply to everyone equally and it shouldn't make any difference whether the speaker's views are based on religious beliefs
3. Don't know

Q2. Which is closer to your own view [ROTATE 1-2]?

1. Religious schools should be legally able to expel or refuse to enrol students who are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender, including children of same-sex couples
2. It should be against the law for religious schools to expel or refuse to enrol students who are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender, including children of same-sex couples
3. Don't know

Q3. Which is closer to your own view [ROTATE 1-2]?

1. Religious schools should be legally able to dismiss or refuse to hire teachers who are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender
2. It should be against the law for religious schools to dismiss or refuse to hire teachers who are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender
3. Don't know

Q4. Currently, some religious organisations receive government funding to provide health, aged care, employment and housing services to the public. Which is closer to your own view about such organisations? [ROTATE 1-2]

1. They should be allowed to refuse to hire staff and to sack staff who are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender based on the organisations religious beliefs
2. They should not be allowed to refuse to hire staff or to sack staff on the grounds that they are bisexual, gay, lesbian or transgender
3. Don't know

*YouGov is a member of the Australian Polling Council and complies with its Code of Conduct as it pertains to the public release of polling data. The purpose of this Code is to increase public confidence through greater transparency.*